United States District Court For the Western District of Wisconsin

U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

Thomas W. Zach

OCT 2 2 2018

Plaintiff,

FILED

PETER OPPENEER, CLERK ORDER

James Thorpe, Robert Schenck,

16-cv-442-jdp

Karen bourlie, Welcome Rose,

Timothy Lundquist, and Jean Carlson,

Defendants.

Thomas W. Zach,

ORDER

Brian Beahm, Troy Hermans,

16-cv-823-jap

Robertschenck, Bob Bryzenski,

Brennan, Logan, Todd Johnson,

Don Renfro, Randy Berz, Deidre Morgan

Sara Polk, Christine Bratz, DR. Delap, and

K. Lovell,

Defendants.

Notice is hereby given that Thomas Zach (plaintiff), in the above named cases, hearby appeal to the united states Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit and the

Order by the Court dated October 12, 2018.

Plaintiff Basis and Foundation For Appeal

Ylaintiff previously fixed Motion (2) with the Court Requesting the Court for assistace to recruit Councel. Haintiff is an indigent, unemployed Convicted Felon in the State of Wisconsin. Plaintiff is broke and living in my Mothers (89) spare bedroom. Plaintiff Contacted no less than twenty (20) attorneys and Lawoffices requesting legal assistance (verified through certified mail and email). Plaintiff has a well documented, dibilitating health Condition that was recently verified in writing by plaintiffs licensed medical professional. Plaintiff has been unsuccessful Securing employment to earn money needed to pay for legal Copies, legal postage and the Copies and postage costs which Will be far greater during the Summary judgment stage than the pleading stage. Plaintiff maintains that, because my tather passed away late last year, that my lettred mothers raceme was slashed in half thus, no longer able assist with anymore legal fees or expenses associated with both of these cases. Plaintiff is not a trained legal professional or anything Close. The Court deviced planatiffs Notion for secruitment of Courses assistance. Plaintiff filed a Motton for Reconsideration based on the facts which support assistance of Fectultment of Councel.

The Court acknowledged that Prose litigants are not as skilled as attorneys and therefore struggle with many tests associated with litigating a lawswitget, still desired plaintiffs Motion For reconsideration.

The Court further confirmed plaintiffs medical conditions, lack of training, and lack of funds, but, the court failed Miserably to acknowledge or outline (within the Courts dated October 12, 2018 ORDER to deny court assistance in Pecralting Course) how an Indigent, broke, unkeathly and untrained in law prose Felon plaintiff is supposed to find or Secure the minimum funds needed to prosecute not one but two highly complex federal Civil suits involving, the state of wisconsin and through the summary judgement stage where cases are won and loss every day. The court merely offers that (plantiff) is capable of presenting his written thoughts of his thoughts in written form.

Plaintiff mountains that the summory judgment stage is far more advanced and far more technical than the pleading stage. Prose plaintiffs' do not get the benefit of having documents liberally Construed, like the pleading stage, and it puts the defendants in a far superior position regardless of strength of plaintiffs previous pleadings. Furthermore, even if it were possible to prosecute two cases this complex without any money through a successful summary judgment for both, any lawyer that the Court would assist in recruiting for plaintiff would

have to play Catch up for months, Many Months. All while the defendants have their own bought and paid for attorney and the Department of Justice defending them.

In matters similar to this or matters where state employee's are being swed in Federal Court, the defendants always get an attorney through the Department of justice, at tax payer expense. Even though plaintiff is booke and unemployed and Considered old (54) or untrainable at my age, where was a time that I paid texes on income etc. That income actually helps pay for the defendants attorney, but I don't get one for reyself When I can't prosecute of represent myself it these two provable, substantial cases. That violetes fundamental fairness. Even if plantiff was healthy and capable of prosecuting both substantial suits, plantiffs Mother and family Can no longer pay for any More of the legal expenses for plaintiff. At the Same time, if plaintiff was still in prison and filed these law Suits prose radigent, it's likely the Gurt would have granted Pecruitment of Course! But the only difference to I plaintiff who is indigent, is that theres no steel bars in my windows and I'M Not surrounded by Pazor wire anymore.

Plantiff Respectfully requests the united states Appeals Court For the 7th Circuit to rule in plantiffs faunt and to order the Court to reverse its decision dated October 12, 2018 and to order Court

to assist in Pecruiting planatiff Aunsel.
Thank you for your true and Considerations.

Respectfully,

Thomas Jah October 22, 2018
prose placetoff

Cl: US Sistaict Court
Western Sistaict for Wisconsin

Wisconsin Attorney beneral

Home file